

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,940	09/27/2006	Feng Xu	PP019817.0003	4572
27476 7550 1002242669 NOVARTIS VACCINES AND DIAGNOSTICS INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY R338 P.O. BOX 8097 Emeryville, CA 94662-8097			EXAMINER	
			WILSON, MICHAEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• • •			1632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/567.940 XU, FENG Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Michael C. Wilson 1632 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 December 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 23-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-13 and 23-44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	-
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-19-08.	6) Other:	

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 14-22 have been canceled. Claims 23-44 have been added. Claims 1-13 and 23-44 are pending and under consideration.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-13, 23-29, 34-40 drawn to a method for in vivo expression of an immunogen comprising administering a bacterial or mycobacterial cell comprising a polynucleotide encoding an immunogen to a mammal, wherein the bacteria is unable to use it s own machinery to express the immunogen.

Group II, claim(s) 1-13, 23, 30, 31, 34, 41, 42 drawn to a method for in vivo expression of an immunogen comprising administering a yeast cell comprising a polynucleotide encoding an immunogen to a mammal, wherein the yeast is unable to use it s own machinery to express the immunogen.

Group III, claim(s) 1-13, 23, 32, 33, 34, 43, 44 drawn to a method for in vivo expression of an immunogen comprising administering an insect cell comprising a polynucleotide encoding an immunogen to a mammal, wherein the insect cell is unable to use it s own machinery to express the immunogen.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Li (J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. July 2003, Vol. 112, pg 159-167) taught the method of claims 1, 2, 5-9, 12, 13, 23, 24, 26, 34, 35, 36, 37 as supported by Burks

Art Unit: 1632

(International archives of allergy and immunology, 1999, Vol. 118, pg 313-314), Novagen pET system Manual, 2006 and US Patent 5,126,251.

Li administered inactivated heat killed E. coli comprising a plasmid encoding peanut antigen to mice (pg 160, col. 2, preparation of HKE-MP123), which generated an immune response against the antigen (pg 161, col. 2, "Results"). The E. coli inherently are "unable to use its own machinery to express the encoded immunogen" because it is heat killed. The polynucleotide encoding the peanut antigens inherently "comprises a promoter functional in eukaryotic cells" because it was made according to the method of Burks (pg 160, col. 2, "Preparation of HKE-MP123", citation 15). Burks taught the peanut antigens were inserted into pET24 plasmids (pg 313, col. 2, lines 8-12). The target genes in pET24 plasmids are under control of strong bacteriophage T7 (Novagen pET system Manual; pg 3, first full paragraph). US Patent 5,126,251 taught target genes under the control of T7 promoter expressed in mammalian cells. Therefore, the promoter of Li is "functional in eukaryotic cells."

Accordingly, the special technical feature: administering an inactivated nonmammalian cell to a mammal, wherein the non-mammalian cell comprises a nucleic acid sequence encoding an immunogen under the control of a promoter that functions in eukaryotic cells, is not a contribution over the prior art.

Furthermore, the structure and function of bacteria, yeast and insect cells are materially distinct and separate. In fact, each cell type is classified differently. The burden required to search all three cell types together would be undue.

Art Unit: 1632

Currently, claims 1-13, 23 and 34 are generic to bacteria, mycobacteria, yeast and insect cells.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of bacteria, yeast and insect cells. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The bacteria species are as follows: E. coli, Shigella spp, Bordella spp, Salmonella spp, Bacillus spp, Streptococcus spp, and Mycobacteria spp.

The yeast species are as follows: Saccharomyces spp and Streptomyces spp.

The insect cell species are as follows: Aedes aegypti, Autographica California, Bombyx mori, Drosophila melanogaster, Spodoptera frugiperda, and Trichoplusia ni.

If applicants elect Group I, applicants must elect a species of bacteria for examination.

If applicants elect Group II, applicants must elect a yeast species for examination.

If applicants elect Group III, applicants must elect species of insect cell for examination.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

Art Unit: 1632

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 1-13, 23-25, 34-36 are generic to bacteria. Claims 26 and 37 are specific to E. coli. Claims 27 and 38 are specific to Shigella flexneri. Claims 28 and 39 are specific to Mycobacterium bovis. Claims 29 and 40 are specific to Salmonella typhi TY21a.

Claims 1-13, 23, 30, 31, 34, 41, 42 are generic to yeast.

Claims 1-13, 23, 32, 33, 34, 43, 44 are generic to insect cells.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each type of bacteria has a different structure and different function in mammals. The bacteria are classified differently and the burden required to search them all together would be undue.

Likewise each yeast and insect cell listed has a different structure and different function

Art Unit: 1632

in mammals. The species of yeasts and the species of insect cells are classified differently and the burden required to search them all together would be undue.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wilson who can normally be reached at the office on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm at 571-272-0738.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras, can be reached on 571-272-4517.

The official fax number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Michael C. Wilson

/Michael C. Wilson/ Patent Examiner